Bi annual, Bi lingual, Peer reviewed, Referred Journal Available online on: <u>www.anveshaejournal.com</u>

DOI-10.55183/amjr.2022.vo3.i.02.001

e-ISSN 2582-6719

Variations of NDVI Scores among Chickpea Varieties under Late Sown Conditions A.K. Srivastava, G.P. Dixit, P.S. Basu, Mohammad Nisar and Shalini Srivastava¹ ICAR-Indian Institute of Pulses Research, Kanpur

¹Department of Botany, Institute of Science, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi-221005, India

Abstract

Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) is commonly used to measure plant health and vigor. NDVI was estimated among 142 chickpea varieties (108 desi and 34 using GreenSeeker[™] kabuli) Handheld Optical Sensor Unit (NTech Industries, Inc., USA) at pod development stage under late sown condition. Among desi types, the NDVI score ranged from 0.29 to 0.75 with mean value of 0.56. Among kabuli types, the NDVI scores ranged from 0.23 to 0.62 with mean value of 0.41. Thus, in general NDVI scores were less in kabuli chickpea. The genotypes having higher NDVI values are expected to have higher photosynthetic rate at pod development stage which might give them an edge over other varieties in terms of source capacity. Thus, among desi varieties JG 218, CSJ 515, Avrodhi and RSG 44 and among Kabuli type varieties GNG 1499, L 550, Pusa 267 and PKC 1, having higher NDVI values, are expected to give higher yield under heat stress.

Key words: Chickpea, NDVI, heat stress.

Introduction

Chickpea has played a major role in realization of Pulses Revolution in India, making the country near self-sufficient in Pulses (Dixit et al. 2020; Singh et al. 2020). There is a quantum jump of 5.79 million tonnes in chickpea production during 2021-22 (13.12 million tonnes) as compared to that during 2014-15 (7.33 million tonnes). This is accompanied with more than 28% increase in chickpea productivity during 2021-22 (1142 kg/ha) as compared to productivity in 2014-15 (889 kg/ha) (Anonymous 2022). During past four decades, there is a large shift in chickpea area from northern India to Southern India. Chickpea crop is exposed to terminal heat and drought stress at flowering and maturity in Central and Southern States accompanied with fluctuations and increase severe in temperatures due to climate change (Dixit et al. 2019). Due to increased area under late sown conditions, terminal heat stress tolerance chickpea in has assumed paramount importance (Arriagada et al. 2022). Field screening for heat tolerance based on yield reduction under heat stress is cumbersome. Plant health and vigour under heat stress can provide insight to its heat tolerance. Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) is commonly used to measure plant health and vigor (https://phenology.cr.usgs.gov/ndvi_foundatio n.php). In the present study an attempt has been made to assess the heat tolerance capacity of chickpea varieties based on NDVI scores.

Materials and Methods

The material under study included 142 chickpea varieties among which 108 were *desi* types and 34 were *kabuli* types (Table 1). NDVI was estimated using Green SeekerTM Handheld Optical Sensor Unit (NTech Industries, Inc., USA) at pod development stage under late sown condition. Mean and Range of NDVI scores among *desi* and *kabuli* varieties were estimated.

ANVESHA-A Multidisciplinary E-Journal for all Researches

Bi annual, Bi lingual, Peer reviewed, Referred Journal Available online on: <u>www.anveshaejournal.com</u>

DOI-10.55183/amjr.2022.vo3.i.02.001

	e-ISSN 2	582-6719	
Vishal	Pant Gram 5	GNG 663	BG 2085
GNG 146	Phule	BG	GLK
	G 12	372	26155

Table 1. Description of Chickpea varietiesused in study.

Desi Chickpea			Kabuli Chickpea
RSG 10	H 82-2	Pusa 547	HK 2
RSG 896	RSG 959	Chaffa	ICCV 2
RVG 202	Anneg iri 1	ICCV 10	Pusa 1108
BG 3043	KWR 108	PBG 5	RVKG 101
HC 3	Radhe y	RAU 52	JGK 1
JG 412	Gulak 1	Anura dha	Pusa 1088
RVG 203	JG 74	BG 261	BG 1053
JG 130	KPG 59	Dahod Yello w	Kripa
RSG 963	Rajas	RSG 931	Virat
Bidisha	Digvij ay	CSJD 884	GLK 28127
CSG 8962	GNG 1488	JG 12	CSJK 21
JG 6	JG 322	Pusa 212	KAK 2
Phule G 0405	K 850	GG 5	Vihar
GNG 469	Maha maya 1	PUSA 72	HK 4
JG 14	NBeG 3	GNG 1958	Pusa 1105
Pusa 1103	RSG 2	ICCC 37	CSJK 6
RSG 895	RSG 902	JG 315	JGK 3
RSG 945	Vishw as	PDG 3	RSGK 6
Vijay	C 235	AKG 9303- 12	HK 1

	5		
GNG 146	Phule	BG	GLK
	G 12	372	26155
GNG 2171	Pusa 329	Pusa 391	IPCK 02- 29
Pratap Chana 1	BGM 413	Vikas	GNG 1491
JG 11	Birsa Chana 3	GL 769	GNG 1969
DCP 92-3	GG 3	JG 63	BGD 128
GCP 105	GPF 2	Maha maya 2	GNG 1292
GNG 1581	JG 16	RSG 807	HK 98-155
JAKI 9218	JG 226	GG 2	PKV 4
RVG 201	Pusa 362	Pusa 209	IPCK 04- 29
PBG 1	AKGS -1	RSG 11	L 552
RSG 973	CSJ 140	BGM 408	PKC 1
GNG 2144	Pusa 244	HC 5	Pusa 267
RSG 974	Vaibha v	CSJ 515	L 550
Himanchal Chana 1	Pant G 114	JG 218	GNG 1499
Phule Vikram	PDG 4		
RSG 888	RSG 44		
Sadabahar	Avrod hi		

Findings

Among *desi* types, the NDVI score ranged from 0.29 to 0.75 with mean value of 0.56. Maximum reading was observed in JG 218 (0.75) followed by CSJ 515 and Avrodhi (0.74 each) (Fig. 1).

Bi annual, Bi lingual, Peer reviewed, Referred Journal Available online on: <u>www.anveshaejournal.com</u>

DOI-10.55183/amjr.2022.vo3.i.02.001

Fig 1. NDVI range among *desi* and *kabuli* varieties.



Minimum reading was observed in RSG 896 (0.29) followed by RVG 202 and BG 3043 (0.36 each). Among *kabuli* types, the NDVI scores ranged from 0.23 to 0.62 with mean value of 0.41. Among *kabuli* chickpea, maximum reading was observed in GNG 1499 (0.62) followed by L 550 (0.61) and Pusa 267 (0.57). Minimum reading was observed in Haryana *Kabuli* Chana-2 (0.23) followed by ICCV 2 and Pusa 1108 (0.28 each). Thus, in general NDVI scores were less in *kabuli* types as compared to *desi* types (Table 1).

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics for NDVIscores in chickpea varieties

Parameters	Desi	Kabuli
Mean	0.56	0.41
Range	0.46	0.39
Minimum	0.29	0.23
Maximum	0.75	0.62

The top ten *desi* chickpea varieties with high NDVI scores included JG 218, CSJ 515, Avrodhi, RSG 44, PDG 4, Pant G 114, Vaibhav, Sadabahar, RSG 888 and Phule Vikram (Table 2). *Kabuli* type chickpea varieties with high NDVI scores included GNG 1499, L 550, Pusa 267, PKC 1, L 552, IPCK 04-29, PKV 4, HK 98-155, GNG 1292 and BGD 128.

Table 2. Desi and Kabuli chickpea varietieswith best NDVI scores under late sowncondition

<i>Desi</i>	NDVI	<i>Kabuli</i>	NDVI
Chickpea	(Best)	Chickpea	(Best)
Phule Vikram	0.69	BGD 128	0.47

RSG 888	0.69	GNG 1292	0.47
Sadabahar	0.69	HK 98- 155	0.47
Vaibhav	0.69	PKV 4	0.48
Pant G 114	0.70	IPCK 04- 29	0.50
PDG 4	0.71	L 552	0.52
RSG 44	0.73	PKC 1	0.52
Avrodhi	0.74	Pusa 267	0.57
CSJ 515	0.74	L 550	0.61
JG 218	0.75	GNG 1499	0.62

Conclusion

The NDVI successfully predicts activity determined photosynthetic by chlorophyll content and activity which is a manifestation of chosen agricultural management system within the boundaries of the agro-ecological environment. Under late sown condition, proper canopy growth at pod development stage is a limiting factor due to reduced growing period. The genotypes having higher NDVI values are expected to have higher photosynthetic rate at pod development stage which might give them an edge over other varieties in terms of source capacity. Among desi varieties JG 218, CSJ 515, Avrodhi and RSG 44 are having higher NDVI values. These are expected to have higher photosynthetic rate at pod development stage leading to higher yield under heat stress. Kabuli type varieties GNG 1499, L 550, Pusa 267 and PKC 1 are having higher NDVI values. These lines can be used as donor for developing chickpea varieties with higher heat tolerance.

References

1) Anonymous. 2022. 4th Advance estimate of Production of Foodgrains for 2021-22. Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Department of Agriculture, Cooperation Farmers Welfare, Ministry of and Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, Government of India. (https://eands.dacnet.nic.in/Advance_Esti mate/Time%20Series%202%20AE%2020 21-22%20(English).pdf).

Bi annual, Bi lingual, Peer reviewed, Referred Journal Available online on: <u>www.anveshaejournal.com</u>

DOI-10.55183/amjr.2022.vo3.i.02.001

- Arriagada O, Cacciuttolo F, Cabeza RA, Carrasco B, Schwember AR (2022). A Comprehensive Review on Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) Breeding for Abiotic Stress Tolerance and Climate Change Resilience. Int J Mol Sci. 18; 23(12): 6794. doi: 10.3390/ijms23126794. PMID: 35743237; PMCID: PMC9223724.
- Dixit G. P., Srivastava A. K. and Singh N. P. 2019. Marching towards Selfsufficiency in Chickpea. Current Science, 116 (2): 239-242.
- Dixit G. P., Srivastava A. K. and Singh N. P. 2020. AICRP on chickpea brings selfsufficiency. ICAR News, 26 (2): 9-10
- Singh N. P., Dixit G. P., Srivastava A. K., Katiyar P. K. and Praharaj C. S. 2020. Pulses Revolution in India. ICAR-Indian Institute of Pulses Research, Kanpur: 197.

e-ISSN 2582-6719